UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,624	06/30/2003	Ya-Bing Chu	MS1-1500US	9379
22801 LEE & HAYES	7590 11/19/200 S PLLC	EXAMINER		
601 W Riverside Avenue			CHANG, JULIAN	
Suite 1400 SPOKANE, WA	A 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2452	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/615,624	CHU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JULIAN CHANG	2452		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Note: A strong the distribution of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matte			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 71-74 is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-70 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examination of the company of the specification is objected to by the Examination of the company of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	rawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner.	y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -		

Art Unit: 2452

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is responsive to communication filed on 09/25/08. Claims 1-74 are pending, of which, claims 1-70 have been examined below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-11, 15, 16, 18, 19 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0069947 ("Lipinski"), and further in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0078708 ("Li"), U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0069992 ("Ramig"), U.S. Pat. No. 6,560,648 ("Dunn"), and U.S. Pat. No. 6,958,996 ("Xiong").
- 2. Xiong was cited in a previous office action.
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 2, Lipinski teaches a method comprising: connecting a device to a network service in a plurality of stages (Fig. 2); wherein connecting in a plurality of stages includes:

attempting to obtain IP settings via DHCP (Fig. 2, 207);
performing a DNS name resolution (Fig. 2, 220); and
sending test data between the device and network service (Fig. 2, 223).

Art Unit: 2452

Lipinski fails to teach detecting a physical cable connection, displaying real-time status of each of the plurality of stages, including troubleshooting help if necessary. Li teaches detecting a physical cable connection (Fig. 2; para. [0039]), and displaying real-time status information (para. [0039]), including troubleshooting help (para. [0006]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to test for a physical cable connection as taught by Li in order to notify a user if the physical cable is not properly connected.

Lipinski-Li fails to teach performing a DNS name resolution. Ramig teaches performing a DNS name resolution (para. [0047]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to perform a DNS name resolution in order to make sure the DNS is set up properly.

Lipinski-Li-Ramig fails to teach determining a QoS of a connection. Dunn teaches testing the latency of a connection (Col. 7, lines 50-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to test the QoS of a connection in order to determine the quality of the connection, and to verify the existence of a connection.

Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn fails to teach attempting to connect using PPPoE if DHCP is not successful. Xiong teaches attempting to connect using DHCP unsuccessfully, and then attempting to connect using PPPoE (Fig. 7; Col. 7, lines 6-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to attempt DHCP, and then PPPoE as taught by Xiong in order to automatically configure a network connection.

Art Unit: 2452

Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong fails to teach attempting different connection techniques at each stage until the stage is successful. Since Xiong teaches attempting different techniques during one stage, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attempt different techniques at each stage. The Supreme Court has held that the use of a known technique to improve similar devices in the same way is obvious unless using the technique is beyond the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1740 (2007). In the instant situation, it is clear that one of ordinary skill in the art would easily be capable of modifying a system such as that disclosed in Lipinski to attempt different techniques at each stage.

- 4. Regarding claim 3, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, including a communicative coupling stage between the device and a network (Lipinski: 'IN USE?', Fig. 2).
- 5. Regarding claim 4, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, including a network settings stage for configuring one of a network protocol and a network address (Lipinski: 'GET DHCP NETWORK SETTINGS', Fig. 2).
- 6. Regarding claim 5, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 4 above, including a network settings

Art Unit: 2452

stage exists as an Internet Protocol (IP) settings stage and the network address exists as an IP address (Lipinski: Fig. 2).

- 7. Regarding claim 6, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 5 above, including one or more techniques are attempted for completing an IP settings stage including one of a dynamic host configuration protocol (DHCP) technique, a point-to-point protocol over Ethernet (PPPoE) technique, and a bootstrap protocol (BOOTP) technique (Lipinski: 'DHCP', Fig. 2).
- 8. Regarding claim 7, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 4 above, including a name resolution stage for associating the network address to a network domain name (Lipinski: 'NEED NAME SERVER?', Fig. 2).
- 9. Regarding claim 8, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 7 above, including that a name resolution stage exists as a domain name system (DNS) name resolution stage (Lipinski: 'ENTER DNS', Fig. 2).
- Regarding claim 9, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention
 substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, including a service connection

Art Unit: 2452

stage for confirming communication with the network service (Lipinski: 'TEST NETWORK ACCESS', Fig. 2).

- 11. Regarding claim 10, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, including proceeding automatically between each of the multiple stages of connecting (Lipinski: para. [0023]).
- 12. Regarding claim 11, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, including that real-time status includes a message describing one of the plurality of stages (Li: para. [0039]).
- 13. Regarding claim 15, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, including troubleshooting help including instructions for completing one of the plurality of stages (Li: para. [0006]).
- 14. Regarding claim 16, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, including the troubleshooting help including instructions for completing a technique used to complete one of the plurality of stages (Li: para. [0006]).

Art Unit: 2452

15. Regarding claim 18 Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including troubleshooting help including an error log compiled during the connecting (Li: para. [0006], [0039]).

- 16. Regarding claim 19, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including troubleshooting help including a stage during the connecting at which a failure occurred (Li: para. [0006], [0039]).
- 17. Regarding claim 23, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, including that a device connects to a network service over the Internet (Lipinski: para. [0002]).
- 18. Regarding claim 24, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 23, including a network settings stage for configuring one of a network protocol for the Internet and an Internet Protocol address (Lipinski: para. [0003]).
- 19. Regarding claim 25, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 24 above, including that a dynamic host configuration protocol (DHCP) technique is attempted to complete the network settings

stage and if the DHCP technique fails, then a point-to-point protocol over Ethernet (PPPoE) technique is automatically attempted to complete the network settings stage.

Connecting to a network using DHCP and PPPoE were both very well known at the time of applicant's invention. In U.S. Pat. No. 6,012,088, Li, et al teaches a system for automatically configuring an Internet access device, Including settings for DHCP and PPP. Applicant has simply disclosed a system for applying a brute force trial-and-error approach to connecting to a network. The Court has stated in a recent decision that the combination of prior art elements according to known methods to yield predictable results would have been obvious. MPEP 2143. Prior to applicant's invention a user that fails to connect to a network via DHCP may well have tried to connect via other known methods, including PPPoE. Applicant's invention has simply automated this process, and cannot be considered novel.

- 20. Claims 12-14 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0065941 ("Kaan").
- 21. Regarding claim 12, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claims 11 above, but fails to teach a message describing progress of a technique used to complete one of the plurality of stages.

Kaan teaches a message describing progress of a technique used to complete one of the plurality of stages (Kaan: paras [0072]-[0073]).

Art Unit: 2452

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a message indicating the progress in order to keep the user informed as to the progress of the process that is being run.

22. Regarding claim 13, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, but fails to teach a visual indicator of progress of one of the plurality of stages.

Kaan teaches a visual indicator of progress of one of the plurality of stages (Kaan: Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a visual indicator in order to provide information to a user via a GUI.

23. Regarding claim 14, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, but fails to teach a visual indicator of success or failure of one of the plurality of stages.

Kaan teaches a visual indicator of success or failure of one of the plurality of stages (Kaan: Fig 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include a visual indicator in order to provide information to a user via a GUI.

Art Unit: 2452

24. Regarding claim 26, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, including testing whether a communicative coupling exists between the device and the network (Li: para. [0039]), and displaying real time status of the testing (Id.), and displaying troubleshooting instructions (Li: para. [0006]).

Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong fails to teach displaying success or failure indicators. Kaan teaches such indicators (Fig.4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to include success and failure indicators in order to indicate success or failure of a process to a user.

While Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong and Kaan does not teach displaying such indicators for each stage, and does not teach displaying troubleshooting instructions for each stage, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to do so.

Applying a known technique to a known method is obvious if it yields predictable results.

MPEP 2143.

- 25. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,442,444 ("Matsubara").
- 26. Regarding claim 17, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1 above, but fails to teach troubleshooting help including a serial number of the device.

Art Unit: 2452

However, Matsubara teaches displaying a serial number for troubleshooting (Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a serial number for troubleshooting as taught by Matsubara with motivation to easily determine the serial number.

- 27. Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong as applied to claims 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,016,948 ("Yildiz").
- 28. Regarding claim 20, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 1, but fails to teach a quality of service testing stage.

However, Yildiz teaches testing for quality of service (col. 2, lines 25-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to measure quality of service as taught by Yildiz with motivation to maintain a minimum level of service.

29. Regarding claim 21, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 20, including troubleshooting includes quality of service information (Yildiz: col. 2, lines 25-45).

Art Unit: 2452

30. Regarding claim 22, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Dunn-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 21, including that quality of service information including one of an upload bandwidth, a download bandwidth, a network data packet latency, a network data packet drop rate, and a network jitter value (Yildiz: 'jitter', col. 2, lines 25-45).

- 31. Claims 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski, and further in view of Li and Xiong.
- 32. Regarding claim 27, Lipinski teaches an engine comprising:
 network settings engine to configure network settings, wherein the network
 settings include a network address ('GET DHCP NETWORK SETTINGS', Fig. 2);

a name resolution engine to associate a computing domain name with the network address ('ENTER DNS', Fig. 2); and

a service connection engine to communicate with a network service ('TEST NETWORK ACCESS', Fig. 2).

Lipinski fails to teach a communicative coupling engine to verify a communicative coupling between a device and a network. Li teaches detecting a physical cable connection (Fig. 2; para. [0039]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to test for a physical cable connection in order to notify a user if the physical cable is not properly connected.

Art Unit: 2452

Lipinski-Li fails to teach successively applying different connection techniques upon a failure of part of a connection process. One example given in the disclosure by applicant is attempting PPPoE if DHCP fails. Xiong teaches attempting to connect using DHCP unsuccessfully, and then attempting to connect using PPPoE (Fig. 7; Col. 7, lines 6-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to attempt DHCP, and then PPPoE as taught by Xiong in order to automatically configure a network connection.

Lipinski-Li-Xiong fails to teach attempting different connection techniques at each stage until the stage is successful. Since Xiong teaches attempting different techniques during one stage, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attempt different techniques at each stage. The Supreme Court has held that the use of a known technique to improve similar devices in the same way is obvious unless using the technique is beyond the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1740 (2007). In the instant situation, it is clear that one of ordinary skill in the art would easily be capable of modifying a system such as that disclosed in Lipinski to attempt different techniques at each stage.

33. Regarding claim 29, Lipinski-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 27 above, including a help and troubleshooting engine to instructions in response to a connection failure. Li teaches the display of real-time status and real-time troubleshooting help (Li: para. [0006], [0039]).

Art Unit: 2452

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to employ a troubleshooting help in the connection system of Lipinski with motivation to aid a user in troubleshooting a connection.

- 34. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Xiong as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of Yildiz.
- 35. Regarding claim 28, Lipinski-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 27 above, but fails to teach a quality of service module to test and record quality of service parameters in a network.

However, Yildiz teaches a quality of service module (col. 2, lines 25-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to employ a quality of service module as taught by Yildiz with motivation to maintain a minimum level of service.

- 36. Claims 34, 35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Xiong as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of Kaan.
- 37. Regarding claim 34, Lipinski teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 27 above, but fails to teach a user-interface engine to generate a user interface for displaying a status of the connecting the device to the network.

However, Kaan teaches a user-interface for displaying the status of connecting to a network (Fig. 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to employ a GUI for displaying the status as taught by Kaan with motivation to enable a user to know the progress of connecting to a network.

- 38. Regarding claim 35, Lipinski-Li-Xiong-Kaan teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 34 above including displaying troubleshooting instructions (Li: para. [0006]).
- 39. Regarding claim 37, Lipinski-Li-Xiong-Kaan teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 34 above, including a user interface to display error information from an error logging engine (Kaan: para. [0088]).
- 40. Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Xiong as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 5,790,779 ("Ben").
- 41. Regarding claim 30, Lipinski-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 27 above, but fails to teach an error logging engine to record errors during one or more connection attempts.

However, Ben teaches the aggregation of error logs (abstract).

Art Unit: 2452

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to log errors as taught by Ben with motivation to allow a user to troubleshoot the problem.

- 42. Regarding claim 31, Lipinski-Li-Xiong-Ben teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 30 above, including persisting a failure record and related extended error information of a failed connection stage for uploading to a service in response to a subsequent successful connection to a network (Ben: para. [0003], [0004], [0009]).
- 43. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Xiong-Ben as applied to claim 30 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,535,865 ("Skaaning").
- 44. Regarding claim 32, Lipinski-Li-Xiong-Ben teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 30 above, but fails to teach that failure record and related extended error information are uploaded for statistical treatment of multiple connection failures.

However, Skaaning teaches performing statistical analysis using Bayesian networks to troubleshoot errors (col. 5, lines 30-50).

Art Unit: 2452

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use Bayesian networks for statistical analysis of errors as taught by Skaaning with motivation to troubleshoot more efficiently.

45. Regarding claim 33, Lipinski-Li-Xiong-Ben teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 30 above, but fails to teach that failure record and related extended error information are uploaded for a Bayes network to refine a connection stage between the device and the network.

However, Skaaning teaches performing statistical analysis using Bayesian networks to troubleshoot errors (col. 5, lines 30-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use Bayesian networks for statistical analysis of errors as taught by Skaaning with motivation to troubleshoot more efficiently.

- 46. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Xiong as applied to claim 34 above, and further in view of Yildiz.
- 47. Regarding claim 36, Lipinski-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 34 above, but fails to teach a user interface to display quality of service information from a quality of service engine.

However, Yildiz teaches a user interface to display quality of service information from a quality of service engine (col. 8, lines 1-19).

Art Unit: 2452

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to employ a GUI for monitoring a quality of service as taught by Yildiz with motivation to allow a user to analyze a network graphically.

- 48. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Xiong as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of applicant admitted prior art.
- 49. Regarding claim 38, Lipinski-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 27 above, including manual connecting includes manual entry of at least one network setting (Lipinski: 'MANUAL SETUP?', Fig. 2), but fails to teach a mode selector to switch between automatically connecting the device and the network and manual connecting the device and the network.

Official notice was taken in a previous Office action that such a mode selector was well known at the time of applicant's invention. Since applicant has failed to timely traverse the statements taken under Official notice, said statements are taken to be applicant admitted prior art. See MPEP 2144.03. On such example can be found in U.S. Pat. No. 5,579,446 (Naik, et al) (Fig 2b).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include such a mode selector in order to allow advanced users to manually control the operation of the system, while not overwhelming beginner users with settings they are unfamiliar with.

Art Unit: 2452

50. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski, and further in view of Li, Ramig and Xiong.

51. Regarding claim 39, Lipinski teaches instructions for a method comprising: if the communicative coupling is verified, then obtaining an IP address using the communicative coupling ('GET DHCP NETWORK SETTINGS', Fig. 2); and

attempting communication with an online service using the IP address or the domain name ('TEST NETWORK ACCESS', Fig. 2).

Lipinski fails to teach a communicative coupling engine to verify a communicative coupling between a device and a network. Li teaches detecting a physical cable connection (Fig. 2; para. [0039]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to test for a physical cable connection in order to notify a user if the physical cable is not properly connected.

Lipinski-Li fails to teach performing a DNS name resolution. Ramig teaches performing a DNS name resolution (para. [0047]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to perform a DNS name resolution in order to make sure the DNS is set up properly.

Lipinski-Li-Ramig fails to teach that a dynamic host configuration protocol (DHCP) technique is attempted to complete the network settings stage and if the DHCP technique fails, then a point-to-point protocol over Ethernet (PPPoE) technique is automatically attempted to complete the network settings stage. Xiong teaches attempting to connect using DHCP unsuccessfully, and then attempting to connect

Art Unit: 2452

using PPPoE (Fig. 7; Col. 7, lines 6-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to attempt DHCP, and then PPPoE as taught by Xiong in order to automatically configure a network connection.

Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong fails to teach attempting different connection techniques at each stage until the stage is successful. Since Xiong teaches attempting different techniques during one stage, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attempt different techniques at each stage. The Supreme Court has held that the use of a known technique to improve similar devices in the same way is obvious unless using the technique is beyond the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1740 (2007). In the instant situation, it is clear that one of ordinary skill in the art would easily be capable of modifying a system such as that disclosed in Lipinski to attempt different techniques at each stage.

- 52. Claims 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong as applied to claim 39 above, and further in view of Yildiz.
- 53. Regarding claim 40, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 39 above, but fails to teach testing quality of service parameters between the device and the online service.

However, Yildiz teaches monitoring a quality of service (col. 2, lines 25-45).

Art Unit: 2452

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to employ a quality of service module as taught by Yildiz with motivation to maintain a minimum level of service.

- 54. Regarding claim 41, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong-Yildiz teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 40 above, including displaying real-ime status and real-time troubleshooting help (para. [0006], [0039]).
- 55. Regarding claim 42, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong-Yildiz teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 41 above, including displaying troubleshooting instructions associated with a part of the method whenever the part of the method is not automatically completed (Li: para. [0006]).
- 56. Claims 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong as applied to claim 39 above, and further in view of Ben.
- 57. Regarding claim 43, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 39 above, but fails to teach storing a failure record and related extended error information with respect to failures in the connection stages of verifying a communicative coupling, obtaining an IP address, querying a domain name system, and attempting communication with an online service.

However, Ben teaches the aggregation of error logs (abstract).

Art Unit: 2452

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to log errors as taught by Ben with motivation to allow a user to troubleshoot the problem.

- 58. Regarding claim 44, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong-Ben teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 43 above, including uploading the failure record and related extended error information in response to a subsequent successful connection to a network (Ben: para. [0003], [0004], [0009]).
- 59. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong-Ben as applied to claim 44 above, and further in view of Skaaning.
- 60. Regarding claim 45, Lipinski-Li-Ramig-Xiong-Ben teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 44 above, but fails to teach that failure record and related extended error information is used in a Bayes network to improve at least one of the connection stages.

However, Skaaning teaches performing statistical analysis using Bayesian networks to troubleshoot errors (col. 5, lines 30-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use Bayesian networks for statistical analysis of errors as taught by Skaaning with motivation to troubleshoot more efficiently.

Art Unit: 2452

61. Claims 46-61, 63, 64 and 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski, and further in view of Kaan, Li, and Xiong.

62. Regarding claim 46 and 68, Lipinski teaches a method comprising:

connecting a device to a network service in a plurality of stages (Fig. 2);

selecting one of the stages ('TEST DHCP', Fig. 2);

attempting a technique for completing the selected stage ('TEST DHCP', Fig. 2);

and

if the technique is successful, then selecting a subsequent stage and attempting a technique to complete the subsequent stage (Fig. 2, 223).

Lipinski fails to teach displaying real-time status reports of attempting and of a success or a failure of a technique. Kaan teaches displaying such real time status reports (Fig. 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to display real time status reports to inform a user of the progress of the process.

Lipinski-Kaan fails to teach displaying troubleshooting instructions if a technique is not successful and there are no more techniques available. Li teaches displaying troubleshooting instructions (para. [0006]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to display troubleshooting instructions in order to aid the user in solving the problem.

Lipinski-Kaan-Li fails to teach attempting another technique if the attempt is not successful. One example given in the disclosure by applicant is attempting PPPoE if

Art Unit: 2452

DHCP fails. Xiong teaches attempting to connect using DHCP unsuccessfully, and then attempting to connect using PPPoE (Fig. 7; Col. 7, lines 6-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to attempt DHCP, and then PPPoE as taught by Xiong in order to automatically configure a network connection.

Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong fails to teach attempting different connection techniques at each stage until the stage is successful. Since Xiong teaches attempting different techniques during one stage, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to attempt different techniques at each stage. The Supreme Court has held that the use of a known technique to improve similar devices in the same way is obvious unless using the technique is beyond the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art. KSR Intern. Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1740 (2007). In the instant situation, it is clear that one of ordinary skill in the art would easily be capable of modifying a system such as that disclosed in Lipinski to attempt different techniques at each stage.

- 63. Regarding claim 47, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim above, including that a device connects to a network service over the Internet (Lipinski: para. [0002]).
- 64. Regarding claim 48, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including a communicative coupling stage between the device and a network (Lipinski: 'IN USE?', Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2452

65. Regarding claim 49, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including a network settings stage for configuring one of a network protocol and a network address (Lipinski: 'GET DHCP NETWORK SETTINGS', Fig. 2).

- 66. Regarding claim 50, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 49 above, including a network settings stage exists as an Internet Protocol (IP) settings stage and the network address exists as an IP address (Lipinski: Fig. 2).
- 67. Regarding claim 51, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 50 above, including one or more techniques are attempted for completing an IP settings stage including one of a dynamic host configuration protocol (DHCP) technique, a point-to-point protocol over Ethernet (PPPoE) technique, and a bootstrap protocol (BOOTP) technique (Lipinski: 'DHCP', Fig. 2)
- 68. Regarding claim 52, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 49 above, including a name resolution stage for associating the network address to a network domain name (Lipinski: 'NEED NAME SERVER?', Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2452

69. Regarding claim 53, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 52 above, including that a name resolution stage exists as a domain name system (DNS) name resolution stage (Lipinski: 'ENTER DNS', Fig. 2).

- 70. Regarding claim 54, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including a service connection stage for confirming communication with the network service (Lipinski: 'TEST NETWORK ACCESS', Fig. 2).
- 71. Regarding claim 55, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including proceeding automatically between each of the multiple stages of connecting (Lipinski: para. [0023]).
- 72. Regarding claim 56, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including that real-time status includes a message describing one of the plurality of stages (Kaan: Fig. 12).
- 73. Regarding claim 57, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 56 above, including a message describing progress

Art Unit: 2452

of a technique used to complete one of the plurality of stages (Kaan: paras [0072]-

[0073]).

74. Regarding claim 58, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially

as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including a visual indicator of progress of

one of the plurality of stages (Kaan: Fig 4).

75. Regarding claim 59, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially

as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including a visual indicator of success or

failure of one of the plurality of stages (Kaan: Fig. 4).

76. Regarding claim 60, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially

as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including troubleshooting help including

instructions for completing one of the plurality of stages (Li: para. [0006]).

77. Regarding claim 61, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially

as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including the troubleshooting help

including instructions for completing a technique used to complete one of the plurality of

stages (Li: para. [0006]).

Art Unit: 2452

78. Regarding claim 63, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including troubleshooting help including an error log compiled during the connecting (Li: para. [0006], [0039]).

- 79. Regarding claim 64, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, including troubleshooting help including a stage during the connecting at which a failure occurred (Li: para. [0006], [0039]).
- 80. Regarding claim 69, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 68, including a network settings stage for configuring one of a network protocol for the Internet and an Internet Protocol address (Lipinski: para. [0003]).
- 81. Regarding claims 70, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 69 above, including that a dynamic host configuration protocol (DHCP) technique is attempted to complete the network settings stage and if the DHCP technique fails, then a point-to-point protocol over Ethernet (PPPoE) technique is automatically attempted to complete the network settings stage (Fig. 7).
- 82. Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong as applied to claim 46 above, and further in view of Matsubara.

Art Unit: 2452

83. Regarding claim 62, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46 above, but fails to teach troubleshooting help including a serial number of the device.

However, Matsubara teaches displaying a serial number for troubleshooting (Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a serial number for troubleshooting as taught by Matsubara with motivation to easily determine the serial number.

- 84. Claims 65-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong as applied to claim 46 above, and further in view of Yildiz.
- 85. Regarding claim 65, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 46, but fails to teach a quality of service testing stage.

However, Yildiz teaches testing for quality of service (col. 2, lines 25-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to measure quality of service as taught by Yildiz with motivation to maintain a minimum level of service.

Art Unit: 2452

86. Regarding claim 66, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong-Yildiz teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 65, including troubleshooting includes quality of service information (Yildiz: col. 2, lines 25-45).

87. Regarding claim 67, Lipinski-Kaan-Li-Xiong -Yildiz teaches the invention substantially as claimed and described in claim 66 above, including that quality of service information including one of an upload bandwidth, a download bandwidth, a network data packet latency, a network data packet drop rate, and a network jitter value (Yildiz: 'jitter', col. 2, lines 25-45).

Response to Arguments

88. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-74 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

89. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JULIAN CHANG whose telephone number is (571)272-8631. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2452

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2452

/Kenny S Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452